



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,482	(02/05/2004	Yibei Ling	APP 1484	4980
9941	7590	04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
		INOLOGIES, INC RIVE 5G116	ZEWDU, ME	ZEWDU, MELESS NMN	
		08854-4157		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2617	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/772,482	LING ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Meless N. Zewdu	2683	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 6,12 and 20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 6,12 and 20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	rawn from consideration. I/or election requirement. ner. ccepted or b) objected to by the leading of the lea	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
2) D Notic 3) D Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on 3/9/06.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13-19 are cancelled.
- 3. Claims 6, 12 and 20 are pending in this action.

The indicated allowability of claims 6, 12 and 20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Harvey, Oh and Belkin (see detailed action below).

Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow. Examiner apologizes for backtracking the prosecution.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01. In the remarks section of the response filed on September 30, 2005, and in the "Amendments to the specification" of march 9 2006, applicant has included www.yahoo.com, (see page 6) in the amendment to the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gao et al. (Gao) (US 2004/0067754 A1) in view of Harvey et al. (Harvey) (US 2004/0133563 A1). **As per claim 6:** Gao teaches about a user device (fig. 2, element 120) operating in a heterogeneous wireless network environment (see abstract);

means for determining that said user device is in an area of overlap by different networks within said environment (see fig. 2; paragraph 0027);

means for handing off said user device (abstract) from said first network to said second network (see fig. 2, cells 212). But, Gao does not explicitly teach about a proxy, for use with a user device, comprising means to tack an ongoing session with said user device, wherein said session is a HTTP session, as claimed by applicant. However, in a related field of endeavor, Harvey teaches about a method of a proxy (see paragraph 0004), for use with a user device (see paragraph 0026), comprising means to tack an ongoing session with said user device, wherein said session is a HTTP session ((see paragraph 0009). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Gao with the teaching

Application/Control Number: 10/772,482

Art Unit: 2683

of Harvey for the advantage of avoiding/preventing the use of "cookies" for maintaining a state needed for a Web site (see paragraph 0003).

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gao et al. (Gao) (US 2004/0067754 A1) in view of Harvey et al. (Harvey) (US 2004/0133563 A1) and further in view of (Oh et al. (Oh) (US 6,714,789 B1).

As per claim 12: some of the features of claim 12 are similar to the features of claim 6. Hence, similar features of claim 12 are rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 6. Exception is the feature, "determining by said user device that said user device is in an area where said firs network and said second network overlap", as claimed by applicant. However, in a related field of endeavor, Oh teaches that it is well known for a mobile station (user device) to determine its own location using a GPS device (see col. 3, lines 26-39; col. 8, line 61-col. 9, line 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the above references with the teaching of Oh since doing so is well known in the art.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gao et al. (Gao) (US 2004/0067754 A1) in view of Harvey et al. (Harvey) (US 2004/0133563 A1) and further in view of Belkin et al. (Belkin) (US 2005/0070288 A1).

As per claim 20: some of the features of claim 20 are similar to the features of claim 6. Hence, features of claim 20 that are similar to the features of claim 6 are rejected on the same ground and motivation as claim 6. Different features are – a proxy interposed between a web browser and the heterogeneous wireless network environment and an information gateway interposed between the heterogeneous wireless network

Art Unit: 2683

environment and the web server, which are not explicitly taught by the above references. However, in a related field of endeavor, Belkin teaches about a handover method and apparatus including an architecture comprising a proxy interposed between a wireless browser (fig. 1, element 102) and a heterogeneous network (fig. 1, elements 106 and 108) and a gateway (fig. 1, MGC) interposed between the heterogeneous wireless network environment (see fig. 1, elements 106 and 108) and the web server (see fig. 1, element 110; paragraph 0002). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the above references with the teaching of Belkin for the advantage of supporting seamless handovers or handoffs between a first and a second communication network (see paragraph 0015). Furthermore, claim 20 is directed to a system for performing the method steps of claims 6 and 12. Hence, claim 20 is rejected on the same grounds and motivations as claims 6 and 12 since the system of claim 20 is required to perform the method steps of claims 6 and 12.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6, 12 and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meless N. Zewdu whose telephone number is (571) 272-7873. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Banks-Harold, Marsha can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2683

Any inquiry of a general nature relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Meless Zewdu

Heurley relese

Examiner

27 March 2006.